

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/212,107	12/15/1998	JOSE I. ARNO	4070-317.CIP	8874
7	590 08/19/2002			
STEVEN J HULTQUIST			EXAMINER	
IP TL P O BOX 14329 RESEARCH TRIANGLE, NC 27709			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M	
RESEARCH I	RIANGLE, NC 21/09		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advis ry Acti n

Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	A
09/212,107	ARNO ET AL.	"/
Examin r	Art Unit	/
Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

condit	rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which plaition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely fil nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	aces the application in ed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [2	_	nal rejection.
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The first scale of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee under al Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	• •
(a	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see N	NOTE below);
(b	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c	 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materiallissues for appeal; and/or 	y reducing or simplifying the
(d	d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally	y rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separa canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ate, timely filed amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered application in condition for allowance because: of the rejection of record, see paper #14.	ed but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to is raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	sues which were newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) vexplanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .	
	Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>21, 26, 27, 61-61</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.	Other:	Upr Jen Uznjen oc-Yen M. Nguyen
	Prir	oc-Yen M. Nguyen [©] nary Examiner Unit: 1754

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitations "the second scrubbing zone has a lower water flow rate than the first scrubbing zone chamber" raise new issue that would require further consideration.